

Remarks

The foregoing amendments to claim 6 and claim 14 are being presented to correct a clear error in each claim and, therefore, remove ambiguity.

Previously, claim 6 mistakenly recited at least one external dimension of the “panel edges” is greater than the corresponding internal dimension of the channel, whereas reference should be to “separate locking means.” At least one external dimension of the separate locking means is greater than the corresponding internal dimension of the channel, so that the separate locking means can be held in the channel by press fit. It is clear from the specification, for example at page 6, lines 5-9, and the balance of the claim, that the separate locking means has an external dimension greater than the corresponding inner dimension of the channel it fits into, “so that the separate locking means can be held in the channel by press fit.”

Claim 14 has been similarly amended.

Entry of the amendments is respectfully requested as they improve the form of claims 6 and 14 for purposes of the pending appeal. The error in claims 6 and 14 was only recently noticed during preparation of an appeal brief being filed concurrently herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP

/Richard F. Bis/

By _____
Richard F. Bis, Reg. No. 68,722

1621 Euclid Avenue
Nineteenth Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(216) 621-1113

M:\T\TURKIP\P0133\P0133US-R09A.doc